TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

March 19, 1997 LB 280

Mr. President, Senator Chambers had offered FA89, which is found on page 1100 of the Legislative Journal.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, just two brief minutes, if you would, to refresh our memory on what this proposal is.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, what we are looking at now is an amended version of the bill based on what Senator Jensen offered us. The tail end of that amendment contains some words which my amendment would strike. In his amendment, which is AMO981, the last sentence contains the language which I would like to strike. And the words in that sentence would be the following: "or would otherwise violate the strong public policy of this state". My amendment aims to strike that language as being not only meaningless, but actually introducing confusion and ambiguity. That's what the amendment would do, and I think I probably took less than two minutes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. We are now then discussing the Chambers' amendment. Senator Chambers, you are recognized to speak.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, we adjourned last night because we lost our quorum. And a bill like this caused us to lose our decorum, in my opinion, because it's totally inappropriate. It singles out a group of people and affirmatively demeans, degrades, and drums those people out of the human family, and I think that's inappropriate. Senator Jensen has done a number of things with his bill. proceed we'll have a chance to go into those. And I have several amendments in the nature of improving the language of the bill without altering its meaning. But I'm opposed to it, lock, stock, and barrel. And I would just wonder, I'm not going to...these are rhetorical questions, if I ask them, how much courage it would take to single out an unpopular group that is despised anyway and hold them up to ridicule and scorn, to gang up on them, then make an outright appeal to prejudice to deprive those people of rights that everybody else takes for granted. As I look at Senator Jensen's version of this bill now, there's no doubt about it that it's spiteful, degrading legislation, and it's in the nature of the concept of outlawry (phonetic). That